

**From:** Jacinthe Amyot [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** March 22, 2026 4:31 PM  
**To:** Community Input Mailbox <communityinput@ucluelet.ca>  
**Subject:** Written Submission – Concerns Regarding Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 1398, 2026 (604 Rainforest Drive)

**[External]**

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing to provide a formal submission regarding Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 1398, 2026 for 604 Rainforest Drive.

My name is Jacinthe Amyot, and I reside at [REDACTED] in Ucluelet. As a nearby resident, I am directly affected by the proposed changes and would like to express several concerns for your consideration.

First, I understand that previous approvals for this development included a commitment to provide ground-floor commercial space, including a medical clinic or similar community-serving use. These types of services are critically needed in Ucluelet and were an important factor in supporting earlier zoning flexibility. The current proposal to remove commercial/medical use in favour of additional resort accommodation represents a significant shift away from those commitments and from the broader community interest.

Ucluelet continues to face a well-documented shortage of accessible services and permanent housing. Allowing further expansion of resort-oriented or nightly rental units, particularly in place of potential community-serving space, risks exacerbating these challenges. This change appears to prioritize short-term visitor accommodation over long-term livability and essential services for residents.

Second, I am concerned about the precedent this sets for incremental, piecemeal amendments to approved developments. When zoning changes are granted based on a specific project vision and community benefit, subsequent amendments that materially alter that vision undermine both public trust and the integrity of the planning process. If the proponent is no longer able or willing to proceed under the originally approved framework, it would be more appropriate for the project to be reconsidered in its entirety under a new application. Alternatively, the zoning should revert to its previous form.

Third, this approach raises broader concerns about alignment with the Official Community Plan (OCP). Approving successive amendments that reduce community-serving uses and increase transient accommodation risks gradually eroding the intent of the OCP and weakening its role as a guiding document for balanced, sustainable development.

Finally, I encourage Council to consider the cumulative impacts of such decisions. Each individual amendment may appear modest, but together they contribute to a pattern that can significantly alter the character, functionality, and resilience of our community over time.

I respectfully ask Council to:

- Decline the proposed amendment in its current form; or
- Require the applicant to maintain meaningful community-serving commercial space as part of the development; or
- Consider requiring a new application process if the project has fundamentally changed from what was originally approved.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on this matter and for your ongoing work in guiding the future of our community.

Sincerely,

Jacinthe Amyot



Ucluelet, BC